♠ AO 120 (Rev. 3/04)

TO:

Mail Stop 8

REPORT ON THE FILING OR DETERMINATION OF AN

	P.O. Box 1450 andria, VA 22313-1450	ACTION REGARDING A PATENT OR TRADEMARK
-		15 U.S.C. § 1116 you are hereby advised that a court action has been
filed in the U.S. I	District Court Dela	aware on the following X Patents or Trademarks:
DOCKET NO. 06cv414	DATE FILED 6/30/06	U.S. DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF DELAWARE
PLAINTIFF Oracle Corporation, et al.		DEFENDANT Epicrealm Licensing LP
PATENT OR TRADEMARK NO.	DATE OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK	HOLDER OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK
3 5,894,554	4/13/99	Parallel Networks
2 US 6,415,335 BI	7/2/02	Parallel Notworks
3		
4		
5		
DATE INCLUDED	INCLUDED BY	g patent(s)/ trademark(s) have been included: mendment
PATENT OR TRADEMARK NO.	OR TRADEMARK	HOLDER OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK
2		
3		
4		
5		
In the ab	ove-entitled case, the following	g decision has been rendered or judgement issued:
		- Oracle and against Porallel ed judgment (D.I. 412)
CLERK PETER T. DALLEO,	(B	Nicole Todano 12/23/08

Copy 1—Upon initiation of action, mail this copy to Director Copy 3—Upon termination of action, mail this copy to Director Copy 2—Upon filing document adding patent(s), mail this copy to Director Copy 4—Case file copy



IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

ORACLE CORPORATION and ORACLE U.S.A., INC.	
Plaintiffs/Counterdefendants,	C.A. No. 06-414 (SLR)
ν.	
PARALLEL NETWORKS, LLC,	
Defendant/Counterclaimant.	3
AND RELATED COUNTERCLAIMS)))

JUDGMENT

Summary judgment of non-infringement of asserted claims 1-5, 7-11 of U.S. Patent No. 5,894,554, and asserted claims 2 and 16 of U.S. Patent No. 6,415,335 (jointly the "patents-insuit") having been entered by the Court on December 4, 2008 in favor of Declaratory Relief Plaintiffs and Counterdefendants Oracle Corporation and Oracle U.S.A., Inc. (jointly "Oracle") and against Defendant and Counterclaimant Parallel Networks, LLC ("Parallel Networks") (Memorandum Opinion, D.I. 400), and the Joint Stipulation for Dismissal and Order dismissing the remaining claims for invalidity and unenforceability of the patents-in-suit without prejudice pursuant to Rule 41(a) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure having been entered by the Court on December 3, 2008 (D.I. 411), and good cause appearing therefor,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that:

- An actual controversy exists between Oracle and Parallel Networks regarding infringement, validity and enforceability of the patents-in-suit.
- 2. Oracle does not infringe any of the asserted claims of the patents-in-suit, as set

- forth in the Court's Memorandum Opinion (D.I. 400).
- Parallel Networks shall take nothing by its counterclaim for infringement of the patents-in-suit.
- 4. All of the remaining claims for invalidity and unenforceability of the patents-insuit having been dismissed without prejudice pursuant to the Joint Stipulation for Dismissal and Order, and no further claims or counterclaims remaining for adjudication in this action, the Court hereby enters judgment in favor of Oracle and against Parallel Networks.

Dated: December 23, 2008

United States District Court Judge